

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,023	09/942,023 08/30/2001		Toshiaki Sasamori	084234-0104 7281	
22428	7590	04/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AT	ND LARI	ONER	EMDADI, KAMRAN		
3000 K STR	EET NW	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2667	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	c a K						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/942,023	SASAMORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kamran Emdadi	2667					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the contract of the option of the property of the p	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 15, 1-14, 8-24.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 4-5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: The term "a function" implies a method step improperly set out in these claims as part of a methodology of "establishing a cycle time." The Examiner believes this claim language is improper for system and/or apparatus claims, a component of the system or apparatus must be clearly defined before the "function" is introduced in the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2667

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sriram (U.S. Patent No. 5,463,620).

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 8, Sriram teaches a bandwidth allocation, transmission scheduling and congestion avoidance system. Figure 1 illustrates a plurality of nodes 10, 12...18 operating on a data communications network. The network includes a plurality of queues (see column 3, lines 47-49 and figure 5) that operate to distribute data via a queuing mechanism that provides both real and non-real time data transmissions (See Type 1A and Type 2 data described in columns 3-4). The operation includes defining a predetermined time cycle D_c used to distribute data held in the queues for both real time and non-real time data distribution, where the data is distributed from a real time data queue during a first portion of the time cycle D_c and the remaining portion of the time cycle D_c is used to distribute non-real time communication data (see column 5, lines 65-67 and column 6, lines 10-24). The time cycle may be used repeatedly (see column 1, lines 30-32).

Regarding claims 2 and 4-7, Sriram teaches, in addition to the above described features, establishing a cycle time D_c by a dynamic time slice server DTS 48, and which is referenced in each communication cycle (see figure 6). Also, measuring the amount of data volume by allotting a specific time slice Tn to the data queue containing the specified type of data and selecting a specified number of cells from each queue (see column 6, lines 11-23).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,023 Page 4

Art Unit: 2667

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kamran Emdadi whose telephone number is 571-272-

6047. The examiner can normally be reached M-F between the hours of 7am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Emdadi

03-23-2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 3 3/2/105

Und